

Member Major Projects Board

Agenda

Friday, 3rd December, 2021 at 11.00 am

in the

Assembly Room Town Hall Saturday Market Place King's Lynn

Also available to view on:

https://www.youtube.com/user/WestNorfolkBC



King's Court, Chapel Street, King's Lynn, Norfolk, PE30 1EX Telephone: 01553 616200

25 November 2021

Dear Member

Member Major Projects Board

You are invited to attend a meeting of the above-mentioned Board which will be held on Friday, 3rd December, 2021 at 11.00 am in the Assembly Room, Town Hall, Saturday Market Place, King's Lynn PE30 5DQ to discuss the business shown below.

Yours sincerely

Chief Executive

<u>AGENDA</u>

- 1. Apologies
- 2. Minutes of the Previous Meeting (Pages 5 11)
- 3. Declarations of Interest
- 4. <u>Urgent Business under Standing Order 7</u>

To consider any business which, by reason of special circumstances, the Chair proposed to accept as urgent under Section 100(b)(4)(b) of the Local Government Act 1972.

5. <u>Members Present Pursuant to Standing Order 34</u>

Members wishing to speak pursuant to Standing Order 34 should inform the Chair of their intention to do so and on what items they wish to be heard before a decision is taken.

6. Chair's Correspondence (if any)

- 7. <u>List of Projects</u> (Pages 12 14)
- 8. <u>Way Forward Discussion on monitoring arrangements of the Member Major Projects Board</u>

9. <u>Date of Next Meeting</u>

Date to be advised.

10. Exclusion of Press and Public

To consider passing the following resolution:

"That under Section 100(A)(4) of the Local Government Act, 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following item of business on the grounds that it involves the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Act".

11. For information only: Minutes from the Officer Major Projects Board

To receive the minutes from the Officer Major Projects Board deferred from the previous meeting.

- 12. Officer Major Projects Board 19 July 2021 (Pages 15 21)
- 13. Officer Major Projects Board 16 August 2021 (Pages 22 25)
- 14. Officer Major Projects Board 16 September 2021 (Pages 26 30)
- **15.** Officer Major Projects Board 20 October 2021 (Pages 31 36)

To:

Member Major Projects Board: Councillors R Blunt, S Dark, A Dickinson, C Joyce, G Middleton, T Parish and A Ryves

Officers:

Alexa Baker, Monitoring Officer Vanessa Dunmall, Performance and Information Officer Lorraine Gore, Chief Executive Matthew Henry, Assistant Director, Property and Projects

BOROUGH COUNCIL OF KING'S LYNN & WEST NORFOLK

MEMBER MAJOR PROJECTS BOARD

Minutes from the Meeting of the Member Major Projects Board held on Wednesday, 10th November, 2021 at 2.00 pm in the Assembly Room, Town Hall, Saturday Market Place, King's Lynn PE30 5DQ

PRESENT: Councillor S Dark (Chair)
Councillors R Blunt (substitute for Councillor Mrs A Dickinson),
M de Whalley (substitute for Councillor T Parish), C Joyce, J Lowe,
G Middleton (substitute for Councillor Mrs J Collingham) and
J Rust (substitute for Councillor A Ryves)

Under Standing Order 34:

Councillor A Kemp Councillor C Morley (via Zoom) Councillor T Parish (via Zoom)

Officers:

Alexa Baker
Vanessa Dunmall, Performance and Efficiency Manager
Lorraine Gore, Chief Executive
Geoff Hall, Executive Director, Development and Environment
Matthew Henry, Assistant Director, Property and Projects/Management
Team Representative
Wendy Vincent, Democratic Services Officer

1 **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Mrs J Collingham, Mrs A Dickinson and A Ryves.

2 MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

The minutes of the meeting held on 29 July 2021 were agreed as a correct record.

3 **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were no declarations of interest.

4 <u>MEMBERS PURSUANT TO STANDING ORDER 34</u>

Councillors C Morley and T Parish were present under Standing Order 34.

5 <u>CABINET REPORT: MEMBER MAJOR PROJECTS BOARD -</u> REVIEW OF TERMS OF REFERENCE

Click here to view the recording of this item on You Tube

The Assistant Director, Property and Projects presented the report circulated with the Agenda and reminded the Board that the Council set up a Member Major Projects Board (MMPB) during 2019 to provide more formal over-sight and monitoring of the delivery of the Council's major projects and the programme of major projects.

It was highlighted that the operation and effectiveness of the Board had been hampered particularly by the Covid-19 Pandemic, with many projects being stalled or delayed and Board meetings being cancelled.

The Board was informed that the Council's Cabinet recognised the important role projects had in helping to deliver its aims and objectives and wanted to ensure that these projects were delivered successfully and in an appropriate way. Cabinet considered that a Project Board comprising a broad spectrum of Members/Councillors would help to provide greater over-sight and management of the Council's programme of major projects.

The report sought to provide a greater degree of clarity in respect of the role of the MMPB and particularly its relationship with other existing Panels and Committees within the council. Clarity was provided that the MMPB was a sub-committee of Cabinet. To this end revised Terms of Reference for the Member Major Projects Board were set out within the report.

The Assistant Director, Property and Projects referred to the comments made regarding the potential conflict with the scrutiny functions of other panels/committees at the July 2021 and outlined the reasons why it was necessary to re-draft the Terms of Reference. Reference was made to the appendix setting out the projects and how these would be placed on the MMPB work programme.

The Chair invited those Councillors attending under Standing Order 34 to address the Board.

Councillor Kemp addressed the Board under Standing Order 34 and commented that the Board was set up to look at risks of projects whether that was financial, environmental, social and others and to review lessons learnt following KLIC. Councillor Kemp stated that looking at risk was different from decision making and scrutiny.

Councillor Parish addressed the Board under Standing Order 34 in relation to the MMPB being a sub-committee of Cabinet, the decision making process and the role of the opposition members on the MMPB. Councillor Parish outlined the benefits, independent and valuable role

of the Chairs of the Regeneration and Development and the Audit Committee being on the MMPB and added that they, in his opinion, should remain on the Board.

Councillor Morley addressed the Board under Standing Order 34 in relation to paragraph 2 of the Terms of Reference setting out the aims of the MMPB. Councillor Morley added that he felt the reporting function and aims, particularly relating to governance required strengthening and asked how the reporting module would be transmitted to the relevant scrutiny panels to enable them to monitor projects. Councillor Morley asked if section 2.2 could be looked at to consider the points he had raised.

Councillor Joyce referred to 2.1(a) and asked if there should be a specific figure defining a "major project" and that would be a matter for Cabinet to decide.

Councillor Joyce referred to 2.1 (b) operate on behalf of the Cabinet, to provide assurance that the council's major projects programme is run in accordance with the Officer Major Projects Board Terms of Reference and commented that if the words operate on behalf of the Cabinet could be deleted this would be acceptable.

In response, the Leader explained that he was happy to discuss the above proposed wording outside of the meeting in consultation with the Monitoring Officer and undertook to revise the proposed wording as set out above.

Councillor Rust commented that she felt the terms of reference as set out were woolly and did not give the lay outsider anything concrete and how robust it was. Councillor Rust added that this was set up to achieve something, but she felt it was a box ticking exercise and that proposed changes looked like Cabinet wanted to mark their own homework. Councillor Rust concluded by commenting that she was uncomfortable with the proposed changes could not support them.

The Chair responded to the comments made by Councillors Parish and Rust and outlined the purpose of the MMPB and the role and importance of the Scrutiny Panels. The Chair reaffirmed that the MMPB was a sub-committee of Cabinet.

Councillor Blunt addressed the Board in relation to the definition of a major project not just being in relation to money but resources, impact, etc and there was more than one definition and through the process officers would determine the definition and this could then be debated before it became a major project. Councillor Blunt commented that the Council needed to think about processes going forward and one of the key issues was to ensure the MMPB focused on risk and looked at each of the key indicators for each project to focus on. Councillor Blunt added that in his view it would be necessary to look at time, speed of delivery, capital and revenue implications, actual project definitions,

resources and review them. Councillor Blunt commented that he hoped that all project documents presented to MMPB would enable the Board to review risks and identify if any projects being monitored on a RAG (Red, Amber, Green) rating moved into red or amber zones this would then require action and Opposition Members could ensure that reviews were completed.

Councillor de Whalley commented that the MMPB gave a more holistic approach to projects as opposed to panels where a specific project was debated in more detail. Councillor de Whalley agreed with the comments made by his fellow Independent Councillors that having the Chairs of the Regeneration and Development Panel and Audit Committee allowed a different perspective and helped Members to keep abreast of the whole picture and to bring any key issues back to panels. Councillor de Whalley also referred to the proposed membership of the MMPB.

The Assistant Director, Property and Projects responded to questions and comments relating to the definition of a major project,, the importance of the programme of projects providing an holistic view of what the organisation was facing, for example, financing, staff resources, etc. The development and scrutiny function of the panels and the link to the MMPB. In relation to the Terms of Reference, the Assistant Director advised that they had not changed much since 2019, but it was felt that the MMPB conflicted with the role, particularly the scrutiny function of the Panels and that it was important this was resolved first in order to to set up a system that worked properly.

The Monitoring Officer outlined the reason for the recommendation within the report and confirmed that the Chairs of the Audit Committee and Regeneration and Development Panel would need to come off the MMPB. This was because within their own respective panels they had terms of reference which involved scrutinising or auditing and potentially applying that function to the activities of the MMPB if the respective Chairs of the Audit Committee and Regeneration and Development Panels were on the MMPB they would therefore be marking their own homework. If issues were referred to their respective Panels if they had been involved in decision making on MMPB. Removing the Chairs mentioned above from the MMPB wold prevent conflict and support the correct operation of the panels.

Councillor Middleton stated that when issues were found with a previous major project, and gave KLIC as an example, everyone agreed that something like the MMPB needed to be set up in order for Councillors to keep a closer look on the decisions that the Council had made for its ongoing projects. Councillor Middleton outlined the arrangements in place prior to the MMPB being set up and this now provided Councillors with an overview of projects. The MMPB would look at how the projects were being progressed in line with certain criteria and if necessary, for example, a project was over budget or

time then there would be an opportunity for the relevant panel to scrutinise the project.

In response to questions from Councillor Middleton on the role of the members of the MMPB having a conflict of interest if they were also a member of other panels, the Monitoring Officer explained that this would be in a position of conflict. The overall position of Members on the MMPB was that they were a sub-committee of Cabinet and therefore part of the decision making process. If the matter then went to a scrutiny panel or to Audit, the MMPB members would not want to be in a position of conflict. If a MMPB member found themselves in that situation they would then need to think what that meant, take advice and if necessary organise a substitute.

Councillor Joyce concurred with the comments made by Councillor Blunt with regard to impact.

Councillor Joyce further commented on scrutiny and potential stage conflict all sorts of ways and stated that he did not disagreed with the Monitoring Officer, but added that it was also the situation that any member of council can place any item on any panel agenda to be scrutinised if it was within that panel's remit and added that there was a clear potential for risk that covered all Councillors and to stop a Member going onto MMPB because they were a Member or Chair of a scrutiny panel might be a bridge too far.

In response to the comments and questions raised by Councillor Joyce, the Assistant Director, Property and Projects explained that the reason for removing the Chairs of the Regeneration and Development Panel and the Audit Committee was actually to protect the governance arrangements and the scrutiny function of the panel and Audit Committee.

At the invitation of the Chair, Councillor Morley addressed the Board with regard to the membership of the Board, performance reports presented to MMPB, comments made by Councillor Blunt, performance management tools and relevant data being produced for the MMPB and scrutiny panels in a constructive and equitable manner on progress on projects.

In response to comments made by Councillor Morley, the Assistant Director, Property and Projects explained that it would be necessary to redesign the process of reporting into the MMPB so that Members knew what they were looking at and why. This process redesign would then form part of the work programme for the MMPB and it could be that the Board identified specific projects from the Cabinet confirmed major projects list and that the relevant project lead officer be invited to attend the MMPB to provide a briefing on that specific project to enable monitoring to be undertaken thereafter. The briefing would include and identify the relevant project desired outputs and outcomes, timelines and budget estimates.

The Chair responded to the questions raised by Councillor Morley.

The Chair responded to the comments made by Councillor Parish in relation to the membership of the MMPB and panels and opposition members, particularly the Independent Group in relation to substitutes.

Councillor Joyce commented that the MMPB was a Cabinet sub-group and it was the decision of the Cabinet who it wished to be represented on the Board and added that perhaps Cabinet could reconsider the position with regarding to the role of the scrutiny panels and comments made by Councillor Parish.

The Chair therefore pr0posed the amended recommendation:

- 1) 2.1 (b) be amended to read: to provide assurance that the council's major projects programme is run in accordance with the Officer Major Projects Board Terms of Reference.
- Advice be sought from the Monitoring Officer regarding the membership of the MMPB and the questions raised regarding Members of the Scrutiny Panels being also representatives on the MMPB.

The Board agreed the above amended recommendation.

Councillor Rust did not vote on the recommendation set out below.

Councillor de Whalley was against the recommendation as set out below.

RESOLVED: That the Member Major Projects Board recommended that Cabinet noted the content of the report and approve the revised Terms of Reference for the Member Major Projects Board, subject to the following additional recommendations which were agreed by the Board:

- 2.1 (b) be amended to read: to provide assurance that the council's major projects programme is run in accordance with the Officer Major Projects Board Terms of Reference.
- Advice be sought from the Monitoring Officer regarding the membership of the MMPB and the questions raised regarding Members of the Scrutiny Panels being also representatives on the MMPB.

6 **DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

The next meeting of the Member Major Projects Board would take place on 3 December 2021, 11.00 am in the Assembly Room, Town Hall, Saturday Market Place, King's Lynn.

7 **EXCLUSION OF PRESS AND PUBLIC**

Click here to view the recording of this item on You Tube

RESOLVED: That under Section 100(A)(4) of the Local Government Act, 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following item of business on the grounds that it involves the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Act.

8 FOR INFORMATION ONLY: AGENDAS FROM THE OFFICER PROJECT BOARD MEETINGS

RESOLVED: That this item be deferred to the next meeting.

- 9 OFFICER MAJOR PROJECTS BOARD MEETING 19 JULY 2021
- 10 OFFICER MAJOR PROJECTS BOARD MEETING 16 AUGUST 2021
- 11 OFFICER MAJOR PROJECTS BOARD 16 SEPTEMBER 2021
- 12 OFFICER MAJOR PROJECTS BOARD MEETING 20 OCTOBER 2021

The meeting closed at 3.13 pm

Major Projects Programme [November 2021]

No.	Heading	Scheme	Description	Strategic fit	Cabinet date(s)	Lead Portfolio
1	Carbon	RE:Fit Scheme	Phase 1 – energy efficiency schemes – streetlighting to	- Part of Climate Change	21 September	Environment
	Reduction		be completed	Strategy & Action Plan to	2021	
	Strategy		Phase 2 – carbon reduction schemes - funded by grant	Cabinet Sept 2021		
			of £3.8m from Public Sector Decarbonisation Scheme	- Grant funding accepted		
			(PSDS), covers various works over 9 sites.			
2	NORA &	Road	Infrastructure – roads and services. Funded via	- Helps deliver strategic	24 September	Development &
	Enterprise Zone	infrastructure and	Business Rates - deal with LEP and other Norfolk LA's	employment development	2019	Regeneration
		utilities	via Business Rates scheme. Site commencement	land		
			anticipated early 2022.	- Contractually committed		
3	NORA &	Development of	Phase 1 - Spec Build Units	- Delivery of business	24 September	Development &
	Enterprise Zone	spec units	Will deliver 2no. 5,000 sqft. Offices and 2no. 10,000	premises to accommodate	2019	Regeneration
			sqft. light industrial units. Earthworks commenced	local or other businesses		
			Summer 2021. Main contract to commence Autumn	- Contractually committed		
12			2021. LEP Funding Agreement in place.			
			Phase 2 - Spec Build Units			
			2no. 10,000 sqft. light industrial units – subject to LEP			
			funding (TBC). Out to tender Autumn 2021.			
4	Major Housing	NORA 4	Mixed tenure scheme total 105 dwellings.	- Development partnership	4 February 2020	Development &
			First units on this development being delivered	with Lovells to deliver 1,000		Regeneration
			December 2021. Completion anticipated May 2023.	homes		
				- Fits with Corporate		
				Business Plan objective no 2		
5	Major Housing	Parkway,	New development of mixed housing.	- Development partnership	15 June 2021	Development &
		Western side, KL	Currently awaiting determination by planning.	with Lovells to deliver 1,000		Regeneration
				homes		
				- Fits with Corporate		
				Business Plan objective no 2		
6	Major Housing	Salters Road,	Development of 78 units.	- Development partnership	16 March 2021	Development &

Agenda Item 7

No.	Heading	Scheme	Description	Strategic fit	Cabinet date(s)	Lead Portfolio
		King's Lynn (also	Works have commenced on site.	with Lovells to deliver 1,000		Regeneration
		known as		homes		
		Columbia Way)		- Fits with Corporate		
				Business Plan objective no 2		
7	Major Housing	Bus Station and	Development of 47 units and a new library site.	- Development partnership	2 February 2021	Development &
		NCC Library Site	Scheme will also include a 'changing place' as part of	with Lovells to deliver 1,000		Regeneration
		(St Edmunds	the accessible toilet (public conveniences).	homes		
		Terrace),	Planning achieved earlier in 2021.	- Fits with Corporate		
		Hunstanton		Business Plan objective no 2		
8	Major Housing	Southend Road	Potential for 32 units, 15% of which need to be	- Development partnership	16 March 2021	Development &
		coach / car park,	affordable. Planning achieved earlier in 2021.	with Lovells to deliver 1,000		Regeneration
		Hunstanton -		homes		
		potential new		- Fits with Corporate		
		development of		Business Plan objective no 2		
13		mixed housing.				
9	West Winch	West Winch.	BCKLWN taking the lead in an overall collaboration	- This Growth Area is the	13 November	Development &
	Growth Area	3,500 units	agreement with the multiple owners of the land	main allocation for housing	2018	Regeneration
		allocated in local	identified within the Local Plan Allocation to deliver a	in the Local Plan		
		plan.	strategic housing allocation. Significant infrastructure			
			requirements. Working with NCC and Homes England.			
			Joint BCKLWN & NCC Cabinet briefing took place in			
			October 2021.			
10	Regeneration	South Gate area	Redevelopment of gateway site into King's Lynn.	- Within the Town	15 June 2021	Development &
		regeneration	Includes potential acquisition of sites, master-	Investment Plan (TIP).		Regeneration
			planning, road scheme and infrastructure. Cabinet	- Forms part of HAZ		
			agreement to progress summer 2020. Links to NCC	programme		
			Levelling Up Fund application for the roundabout.	- Funding secured for		
				master-planning from BRP		

No.	Heading	Scheme	Description	Strategic fit	Cabinet date(s)	Lead Portfolio
No. 11	Town Deal	Various projects / programmes of work	£25m of Town Deal Funding to enhance the long-term economic outlook and growth of King's Lynn. Projects/programmes of work are: - Multi-user community hub - Youth and retraining pledge - St George's Guildhall Complex - School of Nursing - Active and clean connectivity - Riverfront regeneration - Town centre repurposing - Public realm	- Agreed under the Town Deal with government	24 August 2021	Business, Culture & Heritage

CONFIDENTIAL Agenda Item 12

CONFIDENTIAL Agenda Item 15